IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3248 OF 2006

Shailesh Dattatraya Deshmukh Applicant
versus
The State of Maharashtra Respondent

Shri S.M.Kamble for applicant.
Mrs.M.H.Mhatre, APP for respondent.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATE : 4th September 2006

PC :

1. Shri Kamble appearing for the applicant states that the applicant is accused no.7 in CR No.66 of 2006 registered with Kagal Police Station, District Kolhapur, alleging offences punishable under section 395 of IPC.. He has been arrested on 9th July 2006 and since then he has been in custody.

2. He submits that accused nos.9 and 10 who are attributed similar role in the offence, had applied for bail in this Court vide Criminal Application No.2929 of 2006 and that application was allowed by this Court on 23rd August 2006.

3. He submits that the role attributed to the present applicant-accused no.7 being identical, he deserves to be enlarged on bail.

4. The above facts are not disputed by the learned APP and having perused the entire materials with the assistance of learned advocate for both sides, in my view, the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail. Hence following order.

5. ORDER :-

(i) The applicant shall be enlarged on bail in connection with C.R.No.66 of 2006 registered at Kagal Police Station subject to furnishing a personal bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rs.Ten thousand only) with one or two local sureties in the like sum;

(ii) The applicant shall report to the concerned Police Station on every Saturday between 11.00 a.m. and 1.00 p.m. till conclusion of trial;

(iii) The applicant shall furnish his residential address forthwith to the concerned authorities

before his release. Furnishing of address is condition precedent. The applicant shall not change his residential address without intimation to the concerned Police Authorities;

(iv) The bail is granted subject to condition that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement or threat to any prosecution witnesses and shall not in any manner tamper with prosecution evidence.

(v) The applicant shall co-operate with the Trial Court for expeditious disposal of the trial. Any attempt by the applicant to delay the trial may be a ground for cancellation of bail.

(vi) Any observation/s made in this order shall not be constructed as any finding or any expression of opinion on the merits of the case at the time of trial.

(vii) Application is disposed of in above terms.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)